CORBELLO v. DEVITO CASE NO.: 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL

EXHIBIT 1 TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL RE: WRITTEN DISCOVERY TO DODGER THEATRICALS LTD AND JB VIVA VEGAS LP INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

CORBELLO v. DEVITO CASE NO.: 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL

EXHIBIT 1 TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL RE: WRITTEN DISCOVERY TO DODGER THEATRICALS LTD AND JB VIVA VEGAS LP

| 1 | Gregory H. Guillot | |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | ggmark@radix.net Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> | |
| 3 | GREGORY H. GUILLOT, P.C. 13455 Noel Road, Suite 1000 | |
| 4 | Dallas, Texas 75240 Telephone: (972) 774-4560 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (214) 515-0411 | |
| 6 | John L. Krieger, (Nevada Bar No. 6023) JKrieger@LRLaw.com | |
| 7 | ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | |
| 8 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 949-8200 | |
| 9 | Facsimile: (702) 949-8389 | |
| 10 | George L. Paul GPaul@ LRLaw.com | |
| 11 | Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Telephone: (602) 262 -5326 | |
| 12 | Facsimile: (602) 734 -3857 Robert H. McKirgan | |
| 13 | Telephone: (602) 262 -5396 Facsimile: (602) 734 -3863 | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900 | |
| 16 | Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429 | |
| 17 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 18 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 19 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 20 | DONNA CORBELLO, an individual, | Case No. 2:08-cv-00867-RCJ-PAL |
| 21 | Plaintiff, | DECLARATION OF ROBERT H. |
| 22 | VS. | MCKIRGAN UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY |
| 23 | THOMAS GAETANO DEVITO, an | |
| 24 | individual, et al., | |
| 25 | Defendants. | |
| 26 | 1. My name is Robert H. McKirgan. I am an attorney with the law firm of | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | vice in this matter. I am one of the lawyers for the Plaintiff Donna Corbello. | |
| | | |

- 2. I have had numerous discussions with defense counsel regarding various discovery issues in this case and, particularly, with the matters raised in the Motion to Compel Re: Written Discovery to Dodger Theatricals Ltd. and JB Viva Vegas LP (the "Dodger Motion"). Those discussions have been primarily with David Korzenik, counsel for the New Defendants. Some of these discussions have included my co-counsel, Greg Guillot.
- 3. Besides telephone calls, I participated in one in person meeting in Los Angeles, California per the Court's order that counsel meet and confer regarding pending discovery matters. I have also had a number of email exchanges with Mr. Korzenik as well as written correspondence.
- 4. At the last status conference on November 9, 2010, I told the Court that the parties were at an impasse regarding a number of discovery issues and Mr. Korzenik concurred.
- 5. Despite my good faith efforts, I have been unable to resolve the matters discussed in the Dodger Motion. It is my belief and assertion that many documents that are important to Plaintiff's case (as discussed in the Dodger Motion) remain unproduced. Based on my discussions with opposing counsel and a review of their responses to discovery requests, Dodger and JB Vegas agreed to produce many of the documents at issue in the Dodger Motion. To date, those documents have not been produced. As to other documents discussed in the Dodger Motion, the defendants have refused to produce despite my efforts to explain the relevance, discoverability and importance of the documents at issue.
- 6. The assertions in the Dodger Motion that certain documents and category of documents have not been produced is based upon my personal review of portions of the defendants' document production (that related to the Jersey Boys ownership structure and financial information) and Mr. Guillot's review of all of the documents produced by the defendants.